

# VALUATION OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, BRAND VALUE, PATENTS & GOODWILL** 

PROFESSOR CHRIS DROUSSIOTIS

May 2019

# Agenda

- 1. Intangible Assets Overview
- 2. Intangible Assets Valuation Methods/Concepts
- 3. Calculating Goodwill LBO Case
- 4. Valuing Brand Names
- 5. Valuing Patents
- 6. Valuing Intellectual Property Option Pricing and DCF Analysis Overview
- 7. Case I (IP) BioTech (Option Pricing Method)
- 8. Case II (IP) EduTech (DCF Method)
- 9. Class Project

# **TRADITIONAL DEFINITION OF INTANGIBLES**

Intangible assets defined as "non-physical assets such as franchises, trademarks, intellectual property, patents, copyrights, goodwill, equities, mineral rights, securities and contracts that grand rights and privileges and have value for the owner"

# TREND: OLD ECONOMY TO THE NEW ECONOMY

- Recent Emphasis on Intellectual Property (IP) given the highest growth comes from new technological platforms such as FinTech, CleanTech, HealthTech, EduTech.
- The largest companies by market cap are technology companies such as Apple, Amazon, Google & Microsoft that attributed to intangibles as evidenced from Market/Book ratios.

# GENERAL ACCOUNTING CONCEPTS REVISITED

- Tangible vs. Intangibles Assets
- Finite vs. Infinite Intangibles
- O Identifiable vs. Non-Identifiable
- Amortized vs. Impairment Assets
- O Book Value vs. Market Value

# **INTANGIBLE ASSET VALUATION METHODS**

- Income Approach based on cash flows from intangible assets
  - \* Segregating the cash flow from operation vs. cash from the intangibles
  - ✤ What Discount Rate to use? = Using Cost of Equity (Ke) vs. Cost of Debt (Kd), WACC, IRR, WARA
- Cost or Replacement Approach
- O Market Approach
- O Option Pricing-Based Approach

# **INTANGIBLE ASSET VALUATION METHODS**

METHOD	DESCRIPTION	PROS	CONS	WHEN USED
Market	Based on comparable market transactions of intangibles	Market driven – based on what someone is willing to pay (reflecting market prices based on demand and supply equilibrium)	Comparable transactions are sometimes not available	Most desirable but rarely used since a lot of the intangible products (IP) are new and unique
Income	Based on future cash flows (Royalties, Licensing or other Incremental profits)	Top-down approach, based on expected economic returns on initial cost	Input information can be very challenging since the info deals with future projections	Most commonly used – building future benefits helps pricing the royalties, licensing fees based on return expectation
Cost/Replacement	Based on estimated cost of replacing or reproducing the intangible	Easier to calculate – calculate labor, materials and overhead (LMO)	The cost representing the book value does not always represent the market value	Not very common. Used as the basis before spending the money for the specific intangible.
Option Pricing	Based on option pricing models such as Black- Scholes measuring the current "out-of-money" to future "in-the-money" values	Using probability of success and sensitize to get a range.	Input variables to determine future value can be very challenging	Used when there is an obvious cash outflow before the cash inflow kicks in to value the specific intangible asset

# SPECIFIC INTAGINGIBLE ASSET VALUATION METHODS & EXAMPLES



Goodwill (use LBO and Merger examples)



Brand Value using Cost & Market Methods, (Established Branded Company)



Purchased Patent and Impairment Analysis using Cost Approach and setting up for Option Pricing Approach



Intellectual Property using Market, Income, Cost Approach and Option Pricing Approach



# Goodwill Calculation – Market vs. Book METHODS & EXAMPLES

- O Gross Goodwill = Purchase Equity Value Book Equity Value
  - O Purchase or Market Equity Value = Corporate Enterprise Value Debt + Cash
  - O Book Equity Value taken from the last reported balance sheet statement
- O Corporate Enterprise Value methods Back to Basics:
  - Method 1: Using the current stock price as a basis of valuation
  - Method 2: Comparable method using Trading EBITDA Multiples
  - Method 3: Comparable method using Acquisition EBITDA Multiples
  - Method 4: Discount Cash Flow Method (DCF)
  - Method 5: Leveraged Buyout Private Equity Expectation Model (LBO)

## Method 1: Using the Stock Price as the Basis of Valuation

- The stock price represents the value of the company.
- The company issues financial statements every three months and other non-financial information as they come up, so how does the stock price behave like this?
- It is said that the stock price moves based on technical, fundamental and behavioral reasons and there are plenty of analytical approaches that back each of these three reasons.

#### EV = MVE + D - C and MVE = (SP + SO)

where EV is Enterprise Value, MVE is the Market Value of the Equity, D is the total Debt Outstanding and C is the Cash and cash equivalents of the company. where MVE is the Market Value of the Equity, SP is the Stock Price and SO is the Shares Outstanding.

#### Method 1: Using the Stock Price as the Basis of Valuation

ENTERPISE VALUE: \$2.72 Billion

#### AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS)

CORPORATE VALUATIONS

#### METHOD #1 - Market Value / Using the Stock Price

Calculations	>	SP	SO	SP * SO = EQ	D	С	EQ + D - C = EV
Company	Symbol	Stock Price	Stocks Outstanding (\$000)	Equity Value (\$000)	Debt (ST<) (\$000)	Cash (\$000)	Enterprise Value (\$000)
		4/18/2019	4/18/2019	4/18/2019	12/31/2018	12/31/2018	4/18/2019
AK Steel	AKS	\$2.45	316,310	773,378	1,993,700	48,600	2,718,478

# Method 2 Using Comparable Trading EBITDA Multiples

- The most commonly used method by mergers & acquisitions professional
- Looks at the ratio of the Enterprise Value to Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EV /EBITDA) for each of the pier companies and applies the average to measure the company's value.
- The average multiple provides a benchmark which the analyst can establish as the basis for valuating publicly traded companies
- The basic idea is that as the company increases its earnings based on either favorable economic conditions or management decisions from year to year, then the value should also follow at a relatively consistent way.
- In general, industries with higher growth characteristics enjoy higher multiples of earnings. Similar companies that compete with the company that is being valued given the similar business and financial characteristics.

#### Method 2 Using Comparable Trading EBITDA Multiples

ENTERPISE VALUE: \$3.04 Billion

AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS)

METHOD #4 -Average EBIT	DA Industry	Trading M	ultiples							
		SP	SO	SP * SO = EQ	D	С	2 + D - C = E	E	EV / E	
Company	Symbol	Stock Price	Stocks Outstanding (\$000)	Equity Value (\$000)	Debt (ST<) (\$000)	Cash (\$000)	Enterpris e Value (\$000)	EBITDA (\$mm)	EBITDA Multiple	Beta
United Steel	х	\$ 16.41	173,340	2,844,509	2,380,000	1,000,000	4,224,509	1,470,000	2.87x	2.61x
Steel Dynamics	STLD	\$ 33.96	224,100	7,610,436	2,380,000	1,060,000	8,930,436	2,040,000	4.38x	1.61x
Reliance Steel Industries	RS	\$ 90.00	67,230	6,050,700	2,200,000	128,200	8,122,500	1,190,000	6.83x	1.27x
Schtzer Steel Industries	SCHN	\$ 24.44	25,770	629,819	163,080	13,170	779,729	181,360	4.30x	0.92x
Olympic Steel	ZEUS	\$ 15.83	11,010	174,288	302,530	9,320	467,498	74,660	6.26x	2.01x
AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS)	AKS	\$ 2.45	316,310	773,378	1,993,700	48,600	2,718,478	616,600	4.41x	2.91x
EBITDA * Average Multiple	616,600	4.93x						Average	4.93x	
Enteprise Value	3,038,377									

# Method 3 Using Comparable Acquisition EBITDA Multiples

• This method establishes a similar benchmark to what the companies in the same industry are being bought based on multiples of their EBITDA.

• Corporate values using this method are determined based on other companies in the same business that are recently sold to either strategic investors or private equity firms.

• The mergers & acquisition professional search for other companies in the same business that were sold to either strategic investors or private equity firms and establishes a bench mark based on average multiples over time.

• That average acquisition multiple of the purchase price to EBITDA is then used as a measurement to value the company in question.

#### Method 3 Using Comparable Acquisition EBITDA Multiples

ENTERPISE VALUE: \$3.86 Billion

#### AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS)

CORPORATE VALUATIONS

METHOD #5 - Using	Averge EBITDA Tran	sac	tion N	lultiples (M8	A	Compar	ab	ole Metho	bd				
	Calculations		AP	SO	AF	• * SO = EQ		ND	EQ	+ ND = EV		E	EV / E
Target	Acquirer	Ac on /S	quisiti Price Share	Shares Outstanding		Equity Value (\$000)	7	Fotal Net Debt (\$ 000)	E V	nterprise alue (EV)	E	EBITDA (\$ 000)	EBITDA Multiple
ZS Steel	Archimedes PE	\$	47.50	24,000,000	\$	1,140,000	\$	1,500,000	\$	2,640,000	\$	350,000	7.54x
Celerity Steel Manufacturing	AZM Steel & Aliminum	\$	34.00	123,000,000	\$	4,182,000	\$	2,500,000	\$	6,682,000	\$	1,100,000	6.07x
Yes Steel & Aluminum Co.	Kingtom Steel	\$	45.00	13,500,000	\$	607,500	\$	450,000	\$	1,057,500	\$	190,000	5.57x
HI Steel Manufacturing Inc.	Excel Steel	\$	22.00	234,000,000	\$	5,148,000	\$	1,400,000	\$	6,548,000	\$	1,230,000	5.32x
Precision Steel	MW Inc.	\$	12.00	85,000,000	\$	1,020,000	\$	1,200,000	\$	2,220,000	\$	320,000	6.94x
Ross West Steel	Greenstone Capital	\$	8.00	45,000,000	\$	360,000	\$	240,000	\$	600,000	\$	98,000	6.12x
	-			•							A١	verage	6.26x
Enteprise Value	3,860,606					616,600		6.26x					,

# Method 4 Using Discount Cash Flow Method

• This method is the most fundamental method that is used to value many types of companies, especially companies that are tough to find any trading and acquisition multiple comparables.

• This method called the DCF method that is broadly used by many investors, advisors, banks and academics is premised on the principal that the value of a company can be derived by the present value of its projected free cash flow (FCF).

• We will learn later that this FCF is derived from various assumptions, starting from Revenue and subtracting operating and capital costs.

To value the company using the DCF method the analyst needs to derive the following four items:

- Setting up a stream of cash flows
- Identifying an exit year
- Calculating the value at exit year (Terminal Value)
- Using the appropriate discount rate to value the present value of the firm

#### Method 4 Using Discount Cash Flow Method

• ENTERPISE VALUE: \$3.28 Billion

#### AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS) CORPORATE VALUATIONS

#### METHOD #6 - Discount Cash Flow Valuation Analysis

			year =	1	2	3	4	5	6
Discout Cash Flow Valuation Analysis	Historical	Projected	Input Actual					EXIT YEAR	
	Assumptions	Assumptions	12/31/2018	4/2/2019	4/1/2020	4/2/2021	4/2/2022	4/2/2023	4/1/2024
Revenues			6,818,200	7,022,746	7,233,428	7,450,431	7,673,944	7,904,162	8,141,287
Revenue Growth				3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Cost of Revenues (CoGS)	86.7%	86.0%	(5,911,000)	(6,039,562)	(6,220,748)	(6,407,371)	(6,599,592)	(6,797,580)	(7,001,507)
Operating Expenses (Excl. Non-rec.)	8.0%	8.0%	(542,800)	(561,820)	(578,674)	(596,034)	(613,916)	(632,333)	(651,303)
EBIT			364,400	421,365	434,006	447,026	460,437	474,250	488,477
Less Taxes (tax rate x of EBIT)		36.0%	-	(151,691)	(156,242)	(160,929)	(165,757)	(170,730)	(175,852)
Plus Depreciation	3.7%	4.0%	252,200	280,910	289,337	298,017	306,958	316,166	325,651
Less Working Capital	0.6%	0.5%	(41,540)	(35,114)	(36,167)	(37,252)	(38,370)	(39,521)	(40,706)
Less Capex	2.2%	3.0%	(152,000)	(210,682)	(217,003)	(223,513)	(230,218)	(237,125)	(244,239)
Cash Flow			423,060	304,787	313,931	323,349	333,049	343,041	353,332
EBITDA Debt (assuming 5% reduction of intial p	principal per year)		616,600 1,993,700	702,275 1,894,015	723,343 1,794,330	745,043 1,694,645	767,394 1,594,960	790,416 1,495,275	814,129 1,395,590
Terminal Value	Assumptions		Growth						<b>†</b>
FBITDA Multiple Method	4.93x			(FBITDA x FBIT	DA Multiple)			3,894,879	
Pernetuity Method	12 41%		3.00%	Next Year's C	ash Flow / (Disco	unt Rate - Gro	wth)	3 755 569	
Average			010010				,	3.825.224	
Less Debt Outstanding (at Exit)								(1.495.275)	
Plus Cash (at Exit)								-	
Equity Value at Terminal								2,329,949	
Equity Cash Flows	32%	PV (for \$1)		304,787	313,931	323,349	333,049	2,672,990	
	1 PV (1) =	0.7584376	\$231,162	4					
	2 PV (2) =	0.5752276	\$180,582	•					
	3 PV (3) =	0.4362743	\$141,069	•					
	4 PV (4) =	0.3308868	\$110,202	4					
	5 PV (5) =	0.2509570	\$670,805	•				·	
	PV=		\$1,333,820		Cost of Equity	Calc			Interest LTM (\$ 0
					Risk Free Rate	e (5 year)	2.75%		151,600
	Enterprise Value =		PV of Equity +	PV of Debt	Premium base	d on MC =	10.00%		7.60% Ra
	PV of Equity =		\$1,333,820		Company Beta	=	2.91x		
	+ PV of Debt =		1,993,700		Expected Equi	ty Return =	31.9%		
	+ PV of Cash =		(48,600)						
Enterprise Value			3,278,920		WACC Calc:		% Сар	AT RoR	WACC
					Debt	1,993,700	72.1%	4.867%	3.506%
					MV Equity	773,378	27.9%	31.850%	8.902%
							100.0%		12.408%

# Method 5 Using Leveraged Buyout (LBO) or Non-Recourse Method

• This method is very similar to method 4 (DCF method) which is based on future free cash flows except the projected debt, WACC and expected return.

• While the DCF analysis is used for determining today's value of the company based on future cash flows, the value of the company using this LBO method is determined based on investor expectation which is return determines the acquisition price of the firm.

• The equity investment amount is determined after assuming that most of the financing will be via debt. This method is unique because it uses the capital markets to engineer the financing of the buyout, so the equity return expectation is met via the use of leverage.

• This step by step method starts first with the maximum debt and then how much private equity can be raised based on the target's cash flow.

# Method #5

• Setting up the Financial Structure

#### AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS) CORPORATE VALUATIONS

#### METHOD #7 - Leveraged Buyout (LBO) Analysis

						Shares	Total				
			Current		Purchase	Outstanding	Amount	% Total	EBITDA		
Transactions Uses			Stock Price	Premium	Stock Price	(millions)	(\$ 000's)	Uses	Multiple		
Purchase of 100% Shares			\$ 2.45	0%	\$ 2.45	316,310	773,378	27.00%	1.25x		
Refinance Short-Term & Long Term Debt							1,993,700	69.61%	3.23x		
Transaction Fees & Expenses			3.50%	)			96,848	3.38%	0.16x		
Total Cost of Transaction (Uses)							2,863,926	100.00%	4.64x		
			EBITDA	Interest Rate	After Tax		Total				
			Multiple	/ Expected	Interest Rate	WACC	Amount				
Transactions Sources			(Capacity)	Return	Adjustments	Calc	(\$ 000's)	% Capital			
Bank Loan			2.00x	5.0%	3.20%	1.378%	1,233,200	43.06%			
Corporate Bonds			1.00x	8.0%	5.12%	1.102%	616,600	21.53%			
Total Debt			3.00x				1,849,800	64.59%			
Equity			1.64x	31.9%	31.9%	11.278%	1,014,126	35.41%			
Total Sources			4.64x	. ,		13.758%	2,863,926	100.00%			
Cost of Fanity Cale											
Risk Free Rate (5 year)		2.75%	6								
Premium based on MC =		10.009									
Beta =		2.91									
Expected Equity Return =		31.9%	6								
				LTM							
Debt Schedule	Years	Rate	12/31/2018	4/2/2019	4/1/2020	4/2/2021	4/2/2022	4/2/2023	4/1/2024	4/2/2025	4/2/202
Bank Loan	1	5.0%									
Outstanding			1,233,200	1,233,200	1,208,536	1,159,208	1,062,525	877,052	611,421	348,305	-
Scheduled Principal Payments (P)					24,664	49,328	96,683	185,473	265,631	263,116	348,30
Interest Payments (I)				61,660	61,660	60,427	57,960	53,126	43,853	30,571	17,41
Total Payments (P+I)				61,660	86,324	109,755	154,643	238,600	309,484	293,687	365,72
Corporate Bonds	10	8.0%									
Outstanding			616,600	616,600	616,600	616.600	616.600	616,600	616,600	616,600	616.60
Scheduled Principal Payments (P)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest Payments (I)				49,328	49,328	49,328	49.328	49.328	49.328	49.328	49.32
Total Payments (P+I)				49,328	49,328	49,328	49.328	49,328	49.328	49,328	49.32
			1			,			,	,	,•2
Total Debt Payments				110,988	135,652	159,083	203,971	287,928	358,812	343,015	415,04
stal Debt Outstanding				1,849,800	1,825,136	1,775,808	1,679,125	1,493,652	1,228,021	964,905	616,60

### Method #5

0 Enterprise Value = \$2.91Billion

#### CORPORATE VALUATIONS METHOD #7 - Leveraged Buyout (LBO) Analysis year : 2 Discout Cash Flow Valuation Analysis Historical Projected Input Actual LTM EXIT YEAR Assumptions Assumptions 12/31/2018 4/2/2019 4/1/2020 4/2/2021 4/2/2022 4/2/2023 4/1/2024 Revenues 6,818,200 7,022,746 7,233,428 7,522,766 7,823,676 8,136,623 8,462,088 Revenue Growth 3.0% 3.0% 4.0% 4.0% 4.0% 4.0% (6,997,496) 86.7% 86.0% (6,728,361) (7,277,396) Cost of Revenues (CoGS) (5,911,000) (6,039,562) (6,220,748) (6,469,578) Operating Expenses (Excl. Non-rec.) 8.0% 8.0% (542,800) (559,084)(575,857) (622,846) (647,760) (673,671) (598, 891)EBIT 364,400 424,100 436,823 454.296 472,468 491,367 511.022 Less Interest (110, 988)(110,988)(109,755) (107, 288)(102, 454)(93, 181)EBT 364,400 313,112 325,835 344,542 365,180 388,913 417,841 Less Taxes (tax rate x of EBIT) 36.0% -(112,720) (117,301) (124,035) (131,465) (140,009)(150, 423)364,400 220,507 267,418 Net Income 200,392 208,535 233,715 248,904 Plus Depreciation 3.7% 4.0% 252,200 289,337 312,947 338,484 280,910 300,911 325,465 Plus Amortization 7 Years 13,835 13,835 13,835 41,506 13,835 Less Working Capital 0.6% 0.5% (41,540) (35, 114)(36, 167)(37,614) (39, 118)(40, 683)(42, 310)2.2% 3.0% (152,000) (210,682) (217,003) (225,683) (234,710) (244,099) (253,863) Less Capex Cash Flow Before Principal Payment 423,060 249,341 258,537 271,956 286,669 331,093 309,729 Debt Principal Payment (49,328) (185,473) (265,631) -(24, 664)(96,683) Equity Cash Flows 423,060 249,341 233,873 222,628 189,986 145,620 44,097 EBITDA 616,600 705,010 726,161 755,207 785,415 816,832 849,505 Debt 1,993,700 1,849,800 1.825.136 1,775,808 1,679,125 1,493,652 1,228,021 Terminal Value Assumptions Growth EBITDA Multiple Method 4.93x (EBITDA x EBITDA Multiple) 4,025,046 Perpetuity Method 13.76% 4.00% Next Year's Cash Flow / (Discount Rate - Growth) 3,173,959 Average 3,599,502 Less Debt Outstanding (at Exit) (1,493,652) Plus Cash (at Exit) Equity Value at Terminal 2,105,850 Desired Equity Return = 35% Equity Cash Flows 31.9% PV (for \$1) 249,341 233,873 222,628 189,986 2,251,471 PV (1) = 0.7407407 \$184,697 1 2 PV (2) = 0.5486968 \$128,326 \$90,485 ◄ 3 PV (3) = 0.4064421 PV (4) = 0.3010682 \$57,199 4 Δ 0.2230135 \$502,108 + 5 PV (5) = PV= \$962,815 Enterprise Value = PV of Equity + PV of Debt PV of Equity = \$962,815 1,993,700 + PV of Debt = (48,600) + PV of Cash = Enterprise Value 2,907,915

#### AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS)

# **Summary Valuation**

# AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS) CORPORATE VALUATIONS

ENTERPRISE VALUATION ANALYSIS				Equity	Shares	S	stock	
	EV	Debt	Cash	Value	Outs	F	Price	
Book Value Equity	2,045,000	1,993,700	48,600	99,900	316,310	\$	0.32	]
METHOD #1 - Market Value / Using the Stock Price	2,718,478	1,993,700	48,600	773,378	316,310	\$	2.45	
METHOD #3 -Average EBITDA Industry Trading Multiples	3,038,377	1,993,700	48,600	1,093,277	316,310	\$	3.46	
METHOD #4 - Using Averge EBITDA Transaction Multiples	3,860,606	1,993,700	48,600	1,915,506	316,310	\$	6.06	Premiun
METHOD #5 - Discount Cash Flow Valuation Analysis	3,278,920	1,993,700	48,600	1,333,820	316,310	\$	4.22	72.5%
METHOD #6 - LBO Analysis	2,907,915	1,993,700	48,600	962,815	316,310	\$	3.04	24.5%
Average of other methods	3,224,095	1,993,700	48,600	1,278,995		\$	3.26	]
								1

# Value of Goodwill

• Purchase Goodwill= \$633 mm

AK Steel Holding Corp. (AKS) CORPORATE VALUATIONS - IBO Analysis to Calculate Goofwill

#### METHOD #7 - Leveraged Buyout (LBO) Analysis

		Current		Purchase	Shares Outstanding	Total Amount	% Total	EBITDA
Iransactions Uses		Stock Price	Premium	Stock Price	(millions)	(\$ 000 S)	21 46%	1 5C
Refinance Short-Term & Long Term Debt		φ 2.45	0 /6	ψ 0.04	510,510	1 993 700	65 15%	3 23
Transaction Fees & Expenses		3 50%				103 478	3.38%	0.17
Total Cost of Transaction (Uses)		0.0070				3 059 993	100.00%	4 96
Total cost of Hallbacton (oses)						0,000,000	100.0078	4.50
			EBITDA	Interest Rate	After Tax		Total	
			Multiple	/Expected	Interest Rate	WACC	Amount	
Transactions Sources			(Capacity)	Return	Adjustments	Calc	(\$ 000's)	% Capital
Bank Loan			2.00x	5.0%	3.20%	1.290%	1,233,200	40.30%
Corporate Bonds		l	1.00x	8.0%	5.12%	1.032%	616,600	20.15%
Total Debt			3.00x				1,849,800	60.45%
Equity			1.96X	31.9%	31.9%	12.596%	1,210,193	39.55%
Total Sources			4.96X			14.918%	3,059,993	100.00%
	Pre-			Post-				
Balance Sheet	Transaction	Debit	Credit	Transaction		Goodwill Calc	ulation	
Current Assets						Purchase of 10	0% Shares	962.815
Cash & Cash Equivalent	48.600			48.600		Book Value of	Minority	(329,600
Net Receivables	635,800			635,800		Book Value of	Equity	100
Inventory	1,419,900			1,419,900		Purchase God	dwill	633,315
Other Current Assets	97.000			97.000			-	
Total Current Assets	2,201,300			2,201,300	-	Exisitng Goodv	vill	255,000
						Post-Transaction	on Goodwill	888,315
Capitalized Fees	-	103,478		103,478			-	
Goodwill	255,000	633,315		888,315				
PP&E	1,911,600			1,911,600				
Long Term Investment	80,500			80,500				
Intangible Assets	43,900			43,900				
Other Assets	23,400			23,400	-			
Total Assets	4,515,700			5,252,493	-			
Current Liabilities								
Accounts Payable	801,000			801,000				
Other Current Liabilities	15,100			15,100				
Total Liabilities	816,100			816,100				
Long-Term Debt	1,993,700	1,993,700	1,849,800	1,849,800				
Other Liabilities	1,376,400			1,376,400				
Minority Interest	329,600	329,600		-	_			
Total Liabilties	4,515,800			4,042,300				
Common Stock	3,200	3,200	1,210,193	1,210,193				
Treasury Stock	(106,400)	(106,400)		-				
Capital Surplus	2,894,900	2,894,900		-				
Other Equity	(100,000)	(100,000)		-				
Retained Earnings	(2,691,800)	(2,691,800)		-	_			
Total Equity	(100)			1,210,193				
Total Liability and Equity	4,515,700	3,059,993	3,059,993	5,252,493	-			
vor	-				-			/

# 8 Brand Value METHODS & EXAMPLES

# **Brand Value**

O <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6rQtjMcrhJYanks">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6rQtjMcrhJYanks</a>

• A brand is a product that is easily distinguished from other products of services









- Adds significant value (from customer point of view)
- O Builds customer loyalty & aspiration
- Able to change higher process + demand is more price inelastic



# Brand Value Methodology

• Identifying the portion of the product or service that is the brand value

Cost & Market Method		
Branded Product per unit	\$ 1,000	
Other Unbranded Products unit	\$ (800)	
Brand Value	\$ 200	
Number of Units sold	 1,000,000	units
Annual Brand Net Revenue	\$ 200,000,000	
Less Research & Development	\$ (50,000,000)	_
	\$ 150,000,000	
Multiple of Brands Revenue based on market comparable	 8.0x	_
Value of the brand	\$ 1,200,000,000	
Multiple of Unbranded Revenue x units	2.0x	
Value of the unbranded Value	\$ 1,600,000,000	
EV of the Company	\$ 2,800,000,000	

# Purchased Patents METHODS & EXAMPLES

# **Valuing Patents**

#### • The Questions a Buyer will ask for valuing IP – Patents, Trademarks

- What's the market size?
- What are the competitive advantages?
- How much will it cost to implement?
- How long before competitors crowd in?

#### • Factoring the Risks:

- How much would it cost to develop this idea yourself
- O How long might it take to get off the ground
- O Any unexpected challenges or problems that may arise

# Valuing Patent Using Option Pricing

#### BASIC EXAMPLE OF VALUE OF PATENTS USING OPTIONS

New Technology: Cost of Impementing: (X) Present Value of CFs: (S) Payoff today (out of the money) S-X Eliminate emission on Ship enginees (\$10,000,000) \$8,000,000 (\$2,000,000)

#### Buy as an out of the money option today

#### Probability Theory to calculate the value of the option

When is there a real option embadded in a decision or an asset?
 When does that real option have significant economic value?
 Can that value be estimated using an option pricing model?



# **BUSINESS MODEL OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY** A FINTECH CASE – OVERVIEW

- Banks and FinTech platforms need to collaborate for a successful implementation of new technologies. The Banks need to:
  - O Develop Fintech Innovation Framework
  - O Choose Innovation Operations Model
  - Access FinTech Engagement Strategies
  - O Manage Human Capital and Architectural Change
- The Fintech platforms need to:
  - O Articulate Value Proposition that meets consumer banking
  - O Differentiate with Regulatory Process
  - Be Prepared and Well-Networked
  - O Build a Robust Business Case



# **BUSINESS MODEL OF FINTECH – OVERVIEW**

- Investment Banks invest their own capital in FinTech start-ups via strategic investment arms and new venture
- Collaboration Banks enter into various types of arrangements with FinTech companies and other Banks – blockchain development
- O On-going in-house development Data & Human Capital
- Bank valuation & higher ROEs build financial model to achieve 13% ROE (Financial Model to derive the minimum returns)
  - O Fixed expenses shared with other parties
  - ROI from customized innovation solutions

# FINANCIAL MODEL OF FINTECH – OVERVIEW

#### FINANCIAL MODELING: Funding Requirment / Growth / Cash Flow / ROI



Financial Modeling to determine the following 3 outputs

Cash Needs - Transaction Sources & Uses -

Flexibility - Projections: Revenue Drivers / Cost implementation

Valuation - ownership series A, B, C

# **FINTECH: The Venmo Case**

#### **Mobile Peer-to-Peer Payments**

#### venmo

- Venmo is a mobile payment service owned by PayPal. Venmo account holders can transfer funds to others via a mobile phone app; both the sender and receiver have to live in the U.S. Venmo is a type of payment rail. It handled \$12 billion in transactions in the first quarter of 2018.
- Venmo includes social networking interaction; it was created so friends could quickly split bills, whether that is for movies, dinner, rent, tickets, etc. When a user makes a transaction, the transaction details (stripped of the payment amount) are shared on the user's "news feed" and to the user's network of friends.
- History:
  - O 2009: Founded
  - 2010: \$1.2 million Seed money raised RRE Ventures
  - 2012: Sold to Braintree for \$26.2 million
  - O 2013: Braintree was bought by PayPal for \$800 million

#### The Meteoric Rise of Venmo

Quarterly person-to-person payment (P2P) volume processed by Venmo in the U.S.\*



# Using Options as a Measurement of IP Valuation - 3 Questions

• Is there a real option embedded in a decision or an asset? YES

- Call price as the investment (Premium), Strike Price (X) as the Cost and Value of the firm as the underline Asset (S)
- Does that real option have significant economic value? YES
  - O No restriction on competition / exclusivity and the time until no value added
- Can that value be estimated using option pricing model? YES

O Leading to S – X > Minimum Return Expectation

Point worth noting why Options vs DCF:

• Delay/ Negative NPV constant pivoting

# Lesson on **Options** – **Call option**

#### **UNCOVERED (NAKED) OPTION STRATEGIES - Buying a Call Option**

FB		CALLS	
Exercise Price (X)	MARCH	APRIL	ΜΑΥ
150	20.00	21.50	23.00
155	15.50	16.25	17.75
160	12.50	12.85	13.50
165	8.10	9.00	10.65
170	5.20	6.30	8.50
175	3.25	4.25	5.75
180	2.50	3.40	4.45

#### **BUY FB MAY 165 CALLS** \$30.00 BE \$175.65 \$25.00 \$20.00 \$15.00 Profit \$10.00 \$5.00 Ś-\$155.00 \$160.00 \$165.00 \$170.00 \$175.00 \$180.00 \$185.00 \$190.00 \$(5.00) 25 -15 -5 15 35 45 55 \$(10.00) \$(15.00)

#### ACTION

Buy Call @ Exercise (X) =\$ 165.00 Pay Premium (p) = \$ 10.65

	IN	IPUT		
		х		р
ACTION	E	xercise Price (X)	Pr Pe	emium er Share (p)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)
Buy May	\$	165.00	\$	(10.65)

Break Even = \$ 175.65 Max Loss = \$ (10.65) Max Gain = Unlimited

Out-of-the-money Option On-the-money Option In-of-the-money Option

M SC	/HAT IF ENARIO				(	DUTPUT		
	s		ma	O = max (0,S-X)		(π) = Ο - p	HPR % = π/p	X + p
Sto	ock Price (S)	Exercise Y/N?	Pa	ayoff (O)		Profit (π)	HPR (%)	Break Even Stock
\$	155.00	No	\$	-	\$	(10.65)	-100.0%	\$ 175.65
\$	160.00	No	\$	-	\$	(10.65)	-100.0%	\$ 175.65
\$	165.00	No	\$	-	\$	(10.65)	-100.0%	\$ 175.65
\$	170.00	Yes	\$	5.00	\$	(5.65)	-53.1%	\$ 175.65
\$	175.00	Yes	\$	10.00	\$	(0.65)	-6.1%	\$ 175.65
\$	180.00	Yes	\$	15.00	\$	4.35	40.8%	\$ 175.65
\$	185.00	Yes	\$	20.00	\$	9.35	87.8%	\$ 175.65
\$	190.00	Yes	\$	25.00	\$	14.35	134.7%	\$ 175.65

Calculating the Fair Bet based on Probability of Winning on Cointoss:

Calculating the Fair Bet based on Probability of Winning getting a "6" in one toss of a dice:



A Lesson on Probability & Option Pricing



Lesson of Option Pricing – Introducing Binomial Option Pricing Model



Lesson of Option Pricing – Introducing 2-Step Binomial Option Pricing Model



#### Introducing Standard Deviation Concepts and Black-Scholes

# Lessons on Black-Scholes Option Pricing

$$C = SN(d1) - Xe^{-it}N(d2)$$

$$d1 = \frac{\ln(\frac{S}{X}) + \left(i - \delta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}} \text{ and } d2 = d1 - \sigma\sqrt{t}$$

For example, let's assume that the that the current stock price (S) is \$100 and the future exercise price (X) that expires in 6 months is \$110. This out-of-the-money call option and in-the-money put option have a standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of 0.40. Given the risk-free rate of 5.0% what is the fair value for both call and put option premiums?

#### Input:

S = \$100X = \$110t = 0.50 (6 months)i = 5.0% $\sigma = .40$  $\delta = 0 %$ 

Formulas:

$$d1 = \frac{\ln(\frac{100}{110}) + \left(0.05 + \frac{.4^2}{2}\right)0.50}{0.40\sqrt{0.5}} = \frac{\ln(0.9091) + (0.05 + 0.08)0.50}{(0.40)(0.7071)} = \frac{-0.0953 + 0.065}{0.2828} = -0.1071$$
  
and  
$$d2 = -0.1071 - 0.2828 = -0.3899$$
  
N (d1) = 0.4573  
N (d2) = 0.3482

The call option for the call option is calculated using the Black-Scholes formula below:

 $C = 100 (0.4573) - 110 e^{-(0.05)(0.5)} (0.3482) = 45.73 - 110 (0.9753)(0.3482)$ = 45.73 - 37.36 = 8.37

<u>C = 8.37</u>

# Case Study I – Pharma Inc. Using Options to build financial model for valuating IP

- Pharma Inc, a biotech firm, has a patent on a drug to treat multiple sclerosis, for the next 17 years, and it plans to produce and sell the drug by itself.
- The drug will be priced at \$46.50 per patient per day taking it for an average of 2 years
- After an extensive market research, it was determined that 100,000 patients will be buying this drug immediately
- The total cost of development for commercial use is estimated at \$2.75 billion
- Patent life is 17 years
- The 17-year treasury bond rate is 3.50%
- Variance in Expected Present Values = 0.224 based on industry average firm variance for biotech firms

# Case Study Using Options to build financial model for valuating IP with patent

INPUT	PROCESS
Value of the Underlined Asset (S)	PV of Cash Flows expected from the commercialization of the IP
Exercise Price on Option (X)	Cost of Development of the IP
Variance in Value of Underlined Asset ( $\sigma^2$ )	Variance in Cash Flows of similar assets on firm (i.e. the stock price of other companies with similar applications) or Variance in present value from capital budgeting simulation
Expiration of the Option (t)	Life of the IP patent
Dividend Yield (δ)	Cost of Delay- each year of delay translates to one less year of value-creating cash flows 1/t

# Case Study I Using options to build financial model for valuating IP

 $C = SN(d1) - Xe^{-it}N(d2)$ 

#### Pharma Inc.

**Using Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model** 

	100,000	Number of Patients=
	\$46.50	Drug Price (per pill) - net of cost of producing =
Days	365	Daily Use =
Years	2	Average Length for Usage =
	\$3,394,500,000	Present Value of =
	\$2,750,000,000	Total Development Cost (X) =
years	17	IP Patent life (t)=
1/17 years	5.882%	Cost of Delay (δ)=
	4%	Risk Free Rate (i) =
	0.224	Variance =

#### USING BLACK-SCHOLES OPTION MODEL

INPUT			OUTPUT		
Standard Deviation $(\sigma)$ =	47.33%	d1 =	0.8761		
Expiration (in years) (T) =	17	d2 =	-1.0753		
Risk-Free Rate (Annual) (i) =	4%	N(d1) =	0.8095		
Stock Price (S ) =	3,394,500,000	N(d2) =	0.1411		
Exercise Price (X) =	2,750,000,000				
Dividend Yield (annual) (δ) =	5.882%	Value=	796,844,462		

# Case Study II Using Income Method (DCF Analysis) for valuating IP

- The Value of a firm's new innovative products that will be put to commercialization can be derived using the option pricing model
- Value of the Firm = Value of products after it is commercialized or licensed (DCF Analysis)
- Measuring the efficiency of the firm for converting its Development Cost into a commercial product.

# Case Study II Using Income Method (DCF Analysis) for Valuating IP

- The Company: EduTech Company developed an innovative SaaS platform that allows professionals working with children to teach and reinforce soft-skills using digital customizable immersive storybooks (B2B). The application is also used directly by parents that choose the right content to help their children develop into strong, confident, joyful adults (B2C). The company identified that there is a global shift in children's emotional wellness education and training. The development of soft skills is becoming a more critical focus in education for not only children with pre-existing conditions but also as a preventive method for their longer-term mental health and well-being.
- The Market: Education Technology market in the US 2018: 89,000 Elementary Schools, 160,000+ Psychologists, 665,500 + Counselors. - \$40 billion

# Case Study II Using Income Method (DCF Analysis) for valuating IP

#### EduTech Company VENTURE CAPITAL ANALYSIS

Funded	% Cap	Interest	Uses	Amount	% Total	First Year Cash Needs (Before Tax Benefits)
-	0.0%	0.0%	Cash	443,240	73.9%	(394,294) (EBT-WC-Capex)
	0.0%	0.0%	Equipment & Software (Wacom Cintig Pro 24)	11,760	2.0%	
-	0.0%		WebDesign Expense	50,000	8.3%	
600,000	100.0%		Marketing Expenses (upfront)	75,000	12.5%	(Note: Addional \$150K in 2020 - check line 338)
			Other Fees & Expenses	20,000	3.3%	
600,000	100.0%		Total Uses	600,000	100.0%	156,760
	Funded - - - 600,000 600,000	Funded         % Cap           -         0.0%           -         0.0%           600,000         100.0%           600,000         100.0%	Funded         % Cap         Interest           -         0.0%         0.0%           -         0.0%         0.0%           -         0.0%         0.0%           600,000         100.0%         -	Funded         % Cap         Interest         Uses           -         0.0%         Cash         Cash           -         0.0%         Equipment & Software (Wacom Cintig Pro 24)           •         0.0%         WebDesign Expense           600,000         100.0%         Marketing Expenses (upfront)           600,000         100.0%         Total Uses	Funded         % Cap         Interest         Uses         Amount           -         0.0%         0.0%         Cash         443,240           -         0.0%         0.0%         Equipment & Software (Wacom Cintig Pro 24)         11,760           -         0.0%         WebDesign Expense         50,000           600,000         100.0%         Marketing Expenses (upfront)         76,000           00%         Total Uses         600,000         600,000	Funded         % Cap         Interest         Uses         Amount         % Total           -         0.0%         0.0%         Cash         443,240         73.9%           -         0.0%         0.0%         Equipment & Software (Wacom Cintig Pro 24)         11,760         2.0%           -         0.0%         WebDesign Expense         50,000         8.3%           600,000         100.0%         Marketing Expenses (upfront)         75,000         12.5%           600,000         100.0%         Total Uses         600,000         100.0%

		PROJECTED							
B2B REVENUES	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8
Private Practice									
Total Institutions		5	25	100	150	250	400	600	800
Average Subscribers (Children) per Institution		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
One-time Set-up Fee		\$ 350.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 360.50	\$ 371.32	\$ 382.45	\$ 393.93	\$ 405.75	\$ 823.66
Subscription Fee per Subscriber per Month		\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.30	\$ 10.61	\$ 10.93	\$ 11.26	\$ 11.59	\$ 11.94
Total Revenues from Private Practice		7,750	44, 500	212,438	352,749	693,882	1,139,578	1,750,504	2,457,313
Large institutions									
Total Institutions		2	10	35	85	135	185	235	285
Average Subscribers (Children) per Institution		150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
One-time Set-up Fee		\$1,200.00	\$ 1,236.00	\$ 1,273.08	\$1,311.27	\$ 1,350.61	\$ 1,391.13	\$ 1,432.86	\$ 2,908.71
Subscription Fee per Subscriber per Month		\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.45	\$ 15.91	\$ 16.39	\$ 16.88	\$ 17.39	\$ 17.91
Total Revenues from Large Institutions		24,000	144,888	615,837	1,769,899	3,253,922	4,567,090	5,956,118	7,496,022
B2B Revenue		31,750	189,388	828,275	2,122,649	3,947,804	5,706,667	7,706,622	9,953,335
B2C REVENUES									
Number of Subscriptions - Retail - Volume		14,000	20,000	26,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000
Retail Electronic Downloads - Volume		18,000	24,000	32,000	50,000	65,000	80,000	95,000	110,000
Printable Books - Volume		~	~	-	-		-	-	~
Average Subscription Fee per Subscriber per Month (3 packages)		\$ 16.69	\$ 16.69	\$ 17.19	\$ 17.71	\$ 18.24	\$ 18.78	\$ 19.35	\$ 19.93
Average \$ Per Download (3 packages)		\$ 4.99	\$ 4.99	\$ 5.14	\$ 5.29	\$ 5.45	\$ 5.62	\$ 5.78	\$ 5.96
Average \$ Per Print (3 packages)		\$ 14.99	\$ 14.99	\$ 15.44	\$ 15.90	\$ 16.38	\$ 16.87	\$ 17.38	\$ 17.90
B2C Revenue		186,251	1,295,160	2,255,432	3,988,420	5,675,586	7,681,953	9,238,860	10,882,268
Total Revenue		218,001	1,484,548	3,083,707	6,111,068	9,623,390	13,388,621	16,945,482	20,835,603
Less Churn		(32,700)	(222,682)	(462,556)	(916,660)	(1,443,508)	(2,008,293)	(2,541,822)	(3,125,340)
NET REVENUE		185,301	1,261,866	2,621,151	5,194,408	8,179,881	11,380,328	14,403,660	17,710,262
EBITDA		(367,987)	404,749	1,256,703	2,739,000	5,036,194	7,355,852	9,765,716	12,491,471
EBITDA Margin		-198.6%	32.1%	47.9%	52.7%	61.6%	64.6%	67.8%	70.5%
Cash Balance	443,240	188,982	335,550	978,097	2,420,402	5,241,801	9,468,712	15,194,406	22,577,878
Source / (Use) of Cash		(254,258)	146,568	642,547	1,442,305	2,821,399	4,226,911	5,725,694	7,383,471
Equity Outstanding	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000
Equity Contribution	+600.000	+00	+00	+00	+00	+00	+00	+00	+0

# Case Study II Using Income Method (DCF Analysis) for valuating IP



# Case Study II Using Income Method (DCF Analysis) for valuating IP

# SUMMARY VALUATION Average Value \$ Description Multiple / Expr. Based on IRR IRR Based on Comparable Trading Multiples (2022 EBITDA) 8.00x 21,912,000 Comparable Acquisition Multiples (Strategics) Jiscount Cash Flow (DCF) Expect. Ret= 22.15%

#### VALUATION SCENARIO TABLE (Based on Return Exp and TV Multiple)

<u>Exp. Ret %</u> Ent. Val. \$	EBITDA Terminal Value Multiples				
	7.0x	8.0x	9.0x		
25.0%	13,564,550	14,409,751	15,254,951		
30.0%	11,171,788	11,866,481	12,561,174		
35.0%	9,267,904	9,843,133	10,418,362		
40.0%	7,739,965	8,219,554	8,699,143		
45.0%	6,503,939	6,906,350	7,308,761		

FINTECH CASE STUDY

#### **CLASS PROJECT**

Consider a FinTech SaaS based start-up company with an estimated subscription market opportunity of 10 million subscribers that are willing to sign up and pay \$20 per month the first year. For the first year, the cost of revenue is estimated at \$3 per subscriber and operating expenses including marketing is estimated at \$2 per subscriber.

The following assumptions are for year 2-10:

Assumptions	Years 2-10
Monthly Subscription Price increase per year	5.0%
Number of Subscribers increase per year	2.0%
Monthly Cost of revenue per subscriber increase per year	3.0%
Monthly Operating Cost per subscriber increase per year	5.0%
No Tax Assumed	

Brand Terminal Value (year 10) – Multiple of EBIT (x)	0x
IP Expected Return	25%

#### **IP ASSUMPTIONS:**

The present value of the development cost is initial estimated \$400 per subscription (cost per customer acquisition). The firm has the IP patent to exploit for the next 10 years.

The 10-year riskless rate is 3.0%, and the variance is 0.05 based on stock variance of similar companies' stock price.

Given this information above, calculate the value of the IP patent using the Black-Scholes pricing method:

# Biography



Chris Droussiotis' training and expertise is in the area of Investment Banking. Possessing over 30 years of experience by working for numerous corporations in various executive management positions at Bank of America Merill Lynch, CIBC Oppenheimer, Mizuho Financial Group, Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi Trust UFJ, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation and Mitsui Nevitt Banking Corporation.

He is a former Managing Director, General Manager and the Head of the Leverage Finance, Private Equity Sponsor Group & Structured Finance Department at Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (SMBC) managing a loan portfolio of over \$8 billion of large cap and middle market leveraged loans, as well as investments in SPV funds, CLOs and BDCs that are backed by leveraged loans and high yield bonds. He left SMBC recently and join as a Senior Managing Partner of Kinisis Ventures Limited (www.kinisisventures.com), a business accelerator company that helps international company start-ups expand in the U.S. markets. At Kinisis Ventures he leads the firm's deal-flow review, valuations and strategic capital raising team.

#### **Academic Experience**

Adjunct Professor for Columbia University's School of Professional Studies – Enterprise Risk Management, Fordham University's Gabelli School of Business, Baruch College's Economics & Finance Department in NY, Baruch College's Continuing and Professional Studies (CAPS), Stillman School of Business at Seton Hall and Fairleigh Dickinson University's International School of Hospitality and Tourism Management in NJ. Courses include Quantitative Analysis in Business, Investment Analysis, Managerial Finance, Commercial Credit \$ Banking, Debt & Fixed Income Markets, Derivative Strategies, Business Statistics, Equity Valuation and Advanced New Venture Management.