## Lecture \#2

## REVIEW

- YTM / YTC / YTW - IRR concept
- VOLATILITY Vs RETURN - Relationship


## Sharpe Ratio:

Risk Premium over the Standard Deviation of portfolio excess return

$$
(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{r} p)-\mathrm{r} \mathrm{f}) / \sigma
$$

$8 \% / 20 \%=0.4 x$. A higher Sharpe ratio indicates a better reward per unit of volatility, in other words, a more efficient portfolio

CONCEPT: EFFICIENT DIVERSIFICATION - MAXIMIZE SHARPE RATIO
How investors can construct the best possible risky portfolio - efficient Diversification
"Diversification reduces the variability of portfolio returns"
DIVERSIFICATION AND PORTFOLIO RISK

From on Bond to two Bonds to three Bonds $\qquad$ sensitivity to external factors (i.e. oil, non-oils stocks) - But even extensive diversification cannot eliminate risk - MARKET RISK

## ASSET ALLOCATION

Asset allocation between 2 risky assets
COVARIANCE AND CORRELATION

Relationship between the return of two assets

1. Tandem


Depends on the Correlation between the two returns
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## 2. Opposition

Use the Economic Scenarios between two asset classes (Stocks and Bonds)

PERFORMANCE SCENARIOS


PORTFOLIO ANALYSIS(Asset Allocation)


## COVARIANCE \& CORRELATION

| Scenario (S) | Probability (p) | Stocks (Deviation from the mean) | Bonds (Deviation from the mean) | Ds * Db | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Covariance } \\ \text { [p* } \\ \left(\mathrm{Ds}^{*} \mathrm{Db}\right) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recession (Sr) | 30.0\% | -21.00 | 10.00 | -210.00 | -63.00 |
| Normal (Sn) | 40.0\% | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Boom(Sb) | 30.0\% | 17.00 | -10.00 | -170.00 | -51.00 |
|  | 100.0\% | Covariance= |  |  | -114.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -0.99 |

The Covariance is calculated in a manner similar to the Variance. Instead of measuring the typical difference of an asset return from its expected value.

Instead measure the extent to which the variation in the returns of the two assets tend to reinforce or offset each other
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## COVERIANCE

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(\mathrm{rs} . \mathrm{rb})=\sum \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{i})[\mathrm{rs}(\mathrm{i})-\operatorname{avg} \mathrm{rs}][\mathrm{rb}(\mathrm{i})-\operatorname{Avg} \mathrm{rb}]
$$

Rs $=$ return on the stock
$\mathrm{Rb}=$ return on the bond
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{i})=$ expected portfolio return

## CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

$$
\mathrm{Psb}=\operatorname{Cov}(\mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{rb}) / \sigma \mathrm{s} . \sigma \mathrm{b}
$$

Psb = portfolio of Stocks and bonds
$\sigma s=$ Standard Deviation of s
$\sigma b=$ Standard Deviation of $b$

## THE 3 RULES OF TWO-RISKY ASSET PORTFOLIOS

Rule 1: ROR of the portfolio is weighted average of the returns

$$
\mathrm{rp}=\mathrm{Wb} . \mathrm{rb}+\mathrm{Ws.rs}
$$

Rule 2: Expected ROR or the portfolio

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rp})=\mathrm{Wb} . \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rb})+\mathrm{Ws} . \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rs})
$$

Rule 3: Variance of ROR or two-risky asset portfolio.

$$
\sigma \mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2=(\mathrm{Wb} . \sigma \mathrm{b})^{\wedge} 2+(\mathrm{Ws} . \sigma \mathrm{s})^{\wedge} 2+2(\mathbf{W b} . \boldsymbol{\sigma b})(\mathbf{W s .} . \boldsymbol{s}) . \text { Pbs }
$$

Pbs is the correlation between the return on stock and bonds
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Example: $100 \%$ Bonds, then decide to shift to $50 \%$ of bonds and $50 \%$ of stock

## Input Data:

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rb})=6.0 \%$
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rs})=10 \%$
$\sigma b=12 \%$
$\sigma s=25 \%$
$\mathrm{Pbs}=0$
$\mathrm{Wb}=0.5$
$\mathrm{Ws}=0.5$

```
\sigmap}^2=(0.5*12\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}2+(0.5*25)^2+2(0.5*12)(0.5*25)*
\sigmap = SqRt of 192.25 = 13.87%
```

If we averaged the 2 standard deviations of each asset class we will have incorrectly predicted an increase in the portfolio's SD $(25+12) / 2=18.5 \%$ showing an increase of $6.5 \%$ when moving from all bond portfolio to half/half bond/stock. The actuality is that the SD movement is much lower to $13.87 \%$ (as is calculated above) or $1.87 \%$ from all bond portfolio SD of $12.0 \%$ - SO THE GAIN OF DIVERSIFICATION CAN BE SEEN AS FULL 6.50-1.87 = 4.62\% .

If weights 0.75 and 0.25 then $(0.75 * 6)+(0.25 * 10)=7.0 \%$ expected returns Variance $=(0.75 * 12)^{\wedge} 2+(0.25 * 25)^{\wedge} 2+2(0.75 * 12)(0.25 * 25) * 0$

SqRt of $120=\mathbf{1 0 . 9 6 \%}$
Check page 159 - Graph and Table at $r s=10, r b=6, \sigma s=25, \sigma b=12$ at different weights
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## Parameters

| $E(\mathrm{rs})=$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E(\mathrm{rb})=$ | 6 |
| $\sigma \mathrm{~s}=$ | 25 |
| $\sigma \mathrm{~b}=$ | 12 |
| $\mathrm{Psb}=$ | 0 |


| Portfolio Weights |  | Exp Return | Std Dev. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ws | Wb | E(rp) \% | op \% |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.00 | 12.00 |
| 0.1 | 0.9 | 6.40 | 11.09 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 6.80 | 10.82 |
| 0.3 | 0.7 | 7.20 | 11.26 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 7.60 | 12.32 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 8.00 | 13.87 |
| 0.6 | 0.4 | 8.40 | 15.75 |
| 0.7 | 0.3 | 8.80 | 17.87 |
| 0.8 | 0.2 | 9.20 | 20.14 |
| 0.9 | 0.1 | 9.60 | 22.53 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 10.00 | 25.00 |


| Minimum Variance |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stocks | $18.7256 \%$ |
| Bonds | $81.2744 \%$ |

Ws=( $\left.\sigma b^{\wedge} 2-\sigma b \sigma s p\right) /\left(\sigma s^{\wedge} 2+\sigma b^{\wedge} 2-2^{*} \sigma b \sigma s p\right)$ $\mathrm{Wb}=1-\mathrm{Ws}$
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## The Mean - Variance Criterion

Investors Desire portfolios to lie to the Nortwest (Graph) - with higher return and lower Standard Deviation (Risk)

Let's assume Portfolio A is said to dominate portfolio B if all investors prefer A over B. This will be the case that has the highest Return and lost Variance
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rA}) \geq \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rB})$ and $\sigma \mathrm{A} \leq \sigma \mathrm{B}$

If we graph the relationship PA will be to the Northwest of PB

## WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS OF PERFECT POSITIVE CORRELATION BETWEEN BONDS \& STOCKS??

Let's say the correlation is 1 or $\mathrm{Pbs}=1$ (so far we used 0 correlation)
$\mathrm{Pbs}=1$
$\left.\sigma \mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2=\mathrm{Wb}^{\wedge} 2 \sigma \mathrm{~b}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{Ws}^{\wedge} 2 \sigma s^{\wedge} 2+2 \mathrm{~Wb} \sigma b \mathrm{Ws} \sigma s^{*} 1=\mathrm{Wb} . \sigma \mathrm{b}+\mathrm{Ws} . \sigma \mathrm{s}\right)$

## so if $\mathbf{P b}=1$ then $\boldsymbol{\sigma p}=\mathbf{W b} . \boldsymbol{\sigma b}+\mathbf{W s . \sigma s}$

## we learned if

## $\mathbf{P b}=0$ then $\boldsymbol{\sigma p}=\mathbf{S q R t}$ of $(\mathbf{W b} . \sigma b)^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{+}(\text {Ws. } \sigma \text { s })^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$

Example we were using ( $\sigma \mathrm{s}=25, \sigma b=12$ )
$\sigma \mathrm{p}=(.50 * 12)+(.50 * 25)=18.75 \% \ldots$. If $\mathrm{Pbs}=1$, straight average - No gain for diversification, where $\mathrm{Pbs}=0$ we calculated previously that the $\sigma p$ $=13.87 \%$.
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Graph of $\mathrm{Pbs}=1$ and $\mathrm{Pbs}=0$ and in between

With Correlation = 1
Psb = $\quad 1$

| Portfolio Weights |  |  | Std Dev. | Exp Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{W s}$ | Wb |  | $\mathbf{\sigma p} \%$ | E(rp) $\%$ |
| 0.0 | 1.0 |  | 12.00 | 6.00 |
| 0.1 | 0.9 |  | 13.30 | 6.40 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 |  | 14.60 | 6.80 |
| 0.3 | 0.7 |  | 15.90 | 7.20 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 |  | 17.20 | 7.60 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 18.50 | 8.00 |
| 0.6 | 0.4 |  | 19.80 | 8.40 |
| 0.7 | 0.3 |  | 21.10 | 8.80 |
| 0.8 | 0.2 |  | 22.40 | 9.20 |
| 0.9 | 0.1 |  | 23.70 | 9.60 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 |  | 25.00 | 10.00 |



Use Extreme Example where Pbs =-1
$\sigma \mathbf{p}^{\wedge} 2=(\mathbf{W b} . \sigma b-W s . \sigma s)^{\wedge} 2$
or $\sigma p=A B S W b . \sigma b-W s . \sigma s$
(using ABS or absolute because there is no negative standard deviation)
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using our example $=.50 * 12-.50 * 25=$ Abs $6.5 \%$

```
With Correlation = -1
    Psb = -1
```

| Portfolio Weights |  |  | Std Dev. | Exp Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{W s}$ | Wb |  | Op $\%$ | E(rp) $\%$ |
| 0.0 | 1.0 |  | 12.00 | 6.00 |
| 0.1 | 0.9 |  | 8.30 | 6.40 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 |  | 4.60 | 6.80 |
| 0.3 | 0.7 |  | 0.90 | 7.20 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 |  | 2.80 | 7.60 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 6.50 | 8.00 |
| 0.6 | 0.4 |  | 10.20 | 8.40 |
| 0.7 | 0.3 |  | 13.90 | 8.80 |
| 0.8 | 0.2 |  | 17.60 | 9.20 |
| 0.9 | 0.1 |  | 21.30 | 9.60 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 |  | 25.00 | 10.00 |



THE OPTIMAL RISKY PORTFOLIO W A RISK-FREE ASSET
Let's add Risk Free in our portfolio (bringing what we discussed before regarding CAL line)

T-Bills $=5.0 \%$ (risk free)

Historical Correlation between Bonds and Stocks is 0.20

GRAPH introducing the CAL in our previous Graph of Bonds and Stock
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Using the minimum (point A) on a .20 correlation between bonds and stock. We were given the minimum weights at $\mathrm{Wb}=87.06 \%$ and $\mathrm{Ws}=12.94 \%$ so PA expects to return $6.52 \%$ and $\sigma \mathrm{A}$ is $11.54 \%$ calculated as follows:
$\mathrm{rA}=(.8706 * 6)+(.1294 * 10)=6.52$
$\sigma \mathrm{A}=(.8706 * 12)^{\wedge} 2+(.1294 * 25)^{\wedge} 2=11.54 \%$
Sharpe Ratio is $\mathrm{SA}=(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rA})-\mathrm{rf}) / \sigma \mathrm{A}=(6.52-5) / 11.54=0.13$
Now consider the CAL uses portfolio B instead of A. Portfolio B consists of $80 \%$ Bonds and $20 \%$ Stock, then rbs $=6.80 \%, \sigma b s=11.68 \%$ then,
$\mathrm{SB}=(6.80-5) / 11.68=0.15$
$\mathrm{SB}-\mathrm{SA}=0.02$

This implies that portfolio B provides 2 extra basis points $(0.02 \%)$ of expected return for every percentage point (1.0\%) increased in Standard Deviation (Risk)
The higher Sharpe Ratio of B means that its capital allocation line (CAL) it's steeper than A, therefore, CAL(B) plots above CAL(A).
In other words, combination of portfolio B and the risk-free asset provide a higher expected return for any level of risk (SD) than combination of portfolio A and the risk free risk.

## GOAL = CAL NEED TO REACH TANGENCY (GRAPH) FOR OPTICAL RISKY PORTFOLIO

Graph 6.6, page 166

## Solution for maximizing of the Sharpe Ratio:

```
Wb = [(E(rb) - rf).\sigmas^2 - (E9rs) - rf).\sigmab.\sigmas.Pbs]/[ (E (rb) - rf) \sigmas^2 + (E (rs) - rf).\sigmab^2 -rf +E
(rs) - rf.\sigmab.\sigmas.Pbs
```

$\mathrm{Ws}=1-\mathrm{Wb}$
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Assume we want to invest $45 \%$ of our portfolio in Risk Free assets $=55 \%$ is in a risky portfolio between bonds (50\%) and stocks (50\%),

We find the CAL with our optimal portfolio (o) in a slope - Lets say:
Pro $=8.68 \%$ and $\sigma 0=17.97 \%, \mathrm{~Wb}=32.99 \%$ and $\mathrm{Ws}=67.01 \%$ from the long formula above.

So $=8.68-5 / 17.97=0.20$
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{rc})=5+0.55 *(8.68-5)=7.02 \%$
$\sigma c=0.55 * 17.97=9.88 \%$

Wrf $=45 \%$
$\mathrm{Wb}=0.3299 * .55=18.14 \%$
$\mathrm{Ws}=0.6701 * .55=36.86 \%$
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## THE EFFICIENT FRONTER OF RISKY ASSETS

## 3 STEPS:

## STEP 1:

Identify the best possible or most efficient risk-return combination available from the universe of risky assets (Plot them on Return/Standard Deviation Graph)

Expected Return - SD combination for any individual asset end-up inside the efficient frontier, because single-asset portfolios are inefficient (are not efficiently diversified)


## STEP 2:

Determine the optimal portfolio of risky assets by finding the portfolio that supports the steepest CAL (Risky free return introduced)

Risky free assets - using the current Risk Free Rate, we search for CAL with the highest Sharpe Ratio

DEBT \& FIXED INCOME
Prof. C. Droussiotis



STEP 3:
Choose an appropriate complete portfolio based on the investors risk appetite (risk aversion) by mixing the Rf Asset with the optimal risky portfolio.

Choose the appropriate optimal risky portfolio (o) above T-bills Separation Property step - RISK AVERSE comes in play in this step when selected the desire point of the CAL. More risk averse clients will invest in the risk-free asset and less in the optimal risky portfolio $O$.

